arXiv:1105.4012v1 [math.GR] 20 May 2011

Polynilpotent Multipliers of some Nilpotent Products of Cyclic Groups II

A. Hokmabadi, B. Mashayekhy [*] and F.Mohammadzadeh
Department of Mathematics,
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,
P. O. Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran

Abstract

This article is devoted to present an explicit formula for the cth nilpotent multiplier of nilpotent products of some cyclic groups $G = \mathbf{Z} \overset{n_1}{*} \mathbf{Z} \overset{n_2}{*} ... \overset{n_{t-1}}{*} \mathbf{Z} \overset{n_t}{*} \mathbf{Z}_{m_{t+1}} \overset{n_{t+1}}{*} \mathbf{Z}_{m_{t+2}} \overset{n_{t+2}}{*} ... \overset{n_k}{*} \mathbf{Z}_{m_{k+1}}$, where $m_{i+1}|m_i$ for all $t+1 \leq i \leq k$ and $c \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq ... \geq n_t \geq ... \geq n_k$ such that $(p, m_{t+1}) = 1$ for all prime $p \leq n_1$. Moreover, we compute the polynilpotent multiplier of the group G with respect to the polynilpotent variety $\mathcal{N}_{c_1,c_2,...,c_s}$, where $c_1 \geq n_1$.

Keywords: Baer invariant; Variety of groups; Nilpotent multiplier; Cyclic group.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 20E34; 20E10; 20F18.

---

[*] Correspondence: mashaf@math.um.ac.ir

1. Introduction and Motivation

Let a group G be presented as a quotient of a free group F by a normal subgroup R. If $\mathcal{V}$ is the variety of polynilpotent groups of class row $(c_1, ..., c_t)$, $\mathcal{N}_{c_1,c_2,...,c_t}$, then the Baer invariant of a group G with respect to this variety which we call it the polynilpotent multiplier of G is as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_{c_1,c_2,...,c_t}M(G) = \frac{R \cap \gamma_{c_t+1} \circ ... \circ \gamma_{c_1+1}(F)}{[R,\ _{c_1}F,\ _{c_2}\gamma_{c_1+1}(F), ...,\ _{c_t}\gamma_{c_{t-1}+1} \circ ... \circ \gamma_{c_1+1}(F)]}, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma_{c_t+1} \circ ... \circ \gamma_{c_1+1}(F) = \gamma_{c_t+1}(\gamma_{c_{t-1}+1}(...(\gamma_{c_1+1}(F))...))$ are the term of iterated lower central series of F (see [7] for further details). In particular, if $t = 1$ and $c_1 = c$ then the above notation will be the c-nilpotent multiplier of G

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(G) = \frac{R \cap \gamma_{c+1}(F)}{[R,\ _cF]}.$$

The case $c = 1$ is the much studied Schur multiplier of G and denoted by $M(G)$.

Historically, there have been several papers from the beginning of the twentieth century trying to find some structures for the well-known notion the Schur multiplier and its varietal generalization the Baer invariant of some famous products of groups, such as the direct product, the free product and the nilpotent product. Determining these Baer invariants of a given group is known to be very useful for classification of groups into isologism classes. Also structures of Baer invariants are very essential for studying varietal capability and covering groups.

In 1979, Moghaddam [8] found a formula for the c-nilpotent multiplier of a direct product of two groups where $c + 1$ is a prime number or 4. Also, in 1998, G. Ellis [1] presented the formula for all $c \geq 1$. In 1997, Mashayekhy and Moghaddam [9] presented an explicit formula for the c-nilpotent multiplier of a finite abelian group for every $c \geq 1$. In 2006, Mashayekhy and Parvizi [7] gave an explicit formula for the polynilpotent multiplier of a finitely generated abelian group.

In 1992, Gupta and Moghaddam [3] calculated the c-nilpotent multiplier of the nilpotent dihedral group of class $n, G_n \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \overset{n}{*} \mathbf{Z}_2$.(Note that in 2001 Ellis [2] remarked that there is a slip in the statement and gave the correct one.) Also, in 2003, Moghaddam, Mashayekhy and Kayvanfar [10] extended the previous result and calculated the c-nilpotent multiplier of the nth nilpotent product of cyclic groups for n =2,3,4 under some conditions. Moreover, Mashayekhy [5] gave an implicit formula for the c-nilpotent multiplier of a nilpotent product of cyclic groups. Recently, in 2006, Mashayekhy and Parvizi [12] presented explicit structures for nilpotent and polynilpotent multipliers of the nth nilpotent product of infinite cyclic groups. Also, the authors [6] obtained an explicit formula for nilpotent and polynilpotent multipliers of the nth nilpotent product of cyclic groups under some conditions.

Now in this article, we extend the recent result and first give an explicit formula for the c-nilpotent multiplier of nilpotent products of some cyclic groups $G = \mathbf{Z} \overset{n_1}{*} \ldots \overset{n_{t-1}}{*} \mathbf{Z} \overset{n_t}{*} \mathbf{Z}_{m_{t+1}} \overset{n_{t+1}}{*} \ldots \overset{n_k}{*} \mathbf{Z}_{m_{k+1}}$, where $m_{i+1} \mid m_i$ for $t+1 \leq i \leq k$ and $c \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_k$ such that $(p, m_{t+1}) = 1$ for all prime $p \leq n_1$. Second, we compute the polynilpotent multiplier of the group G with respect to the polynilpotent variety $\mathcal{N}_{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_s}$, where $c \geq n_1$. Note that by a group $G = A_1 \overset{n_1}{*} A_2 \overset{n_2}{*} \ldots \overset{n_l}{*} A_{l+1}$ we mean the group $G = (\ldots((A_1 \overset{n_1}{*} A_2) \overset{n_2}{*} A_3)\ldots \overset{n_l}{*} A_{l+1})$.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Let $G_i = \langle x_i | x_i^{k_i} \rangle \cong \mathbf{Z}_{k_i}$ be the cyclic group of order k_i if $k_i \geq 1$, and the infinite cyclic group if $k_i = 0$. The nth nilpotent product of the family

$\{G_i\}_{i\in I}$ is defined as follows:

$$\prod_{i\in I}^{\overset{n}{*}} G_i = \frac{\prod^*_{i\in I} G_i}{\gamma_{n+1}(\prod^*_{i\in I} G_i) \cap [G_i]^*_{i\in I}},$$

where $\prod^*_{i\in I} G_i$ is the free product of the family $\{G_i\}_{i\in I}$, and

$$[G_i]^*_{i\in I} = \langle [G_i, G_j] | i, j \in I, i \neq j \rangle^{\prod^*_{i\in I} G_i}$$

is the cartesian subgroup of the free product $\prod^*_{i\in I} G_i$ which is the kernel of the natural homomorphism from $\prod^*_{i\in I} G_i$ to the direct product $\prod^{\times}_{i\in I} G_i$. For further properties of the above notation see H. Neuman [12]. Since G_i's are cyclic, it is easy to see that $\gamma_{n+1}(\prod^*_{i\in I} G_i) \subseteq [G_i]^*$ and hence $\prod^{\overset{n}{*}}_{i\in I} G_i = \prod^*_{i\in I} G_i / \gamma_{n+1}(\prod^*_{i\in I} G_i)$.

The proof of the main results of this paper rely only on commutator calculus, so we state some definitions and lemmas about commutators.

Definition 2.1 ([4]). The basic commutators on letters $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...$ are defined as follows:

(i) The letters $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...$, are basic commutators of weight one, ordered by setting $x_i < x_j$, if $i < j$.

(ii) If basic commutators c_i of weight $w(c_i) < k$ are defined and ordered, then define basic commutators of weight k by the following rules. $[c_i, c_j]$ is a basic commutator of weight k if and only if

1. $w(c_i) + w(c_j) = k$;
2. $c_i > c_j$;
3. if $c_i = [c_s, c_t]$, then $c_j \geq c_t$.

Then we will continue the order by setting $c \geq c_i$, whenever $w(c) \geq w(c_i)$, fixing any order among those of weight k, and finally numbering them in order.

Theorem 2.2 ([4]). Let F be the free group on $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_d\}$, then for all

$1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\frac{\gamma_n(F)}{\gamma_{n+i}(F)}$$

is a free abelian group freely generated by the basic commutators of weights $n, n+1, ..., n+i-1$ on the letters $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_d\}$.

Theorem 2.3 (Witt formula [4]). The number of basic commutators of weight n on d generators is given by the following formula

$$\chi_n(d) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{m|n} \mu(m) d^{\frac{n}{m}},$$

where $\mu(m)$ is the Möbius function, which defined to be

$$\mu(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; m = 1, \\ 0 & ; m = p_1^{\alpha_1}...p_k^{\alpha_k} \quad \exists \alpha_i > 1, \\ (-1)^s & ; m = p_1...p_s, \end{cases}$$

where the p_i are distinct prime numbers.

Lemma 2.4. Let $1 \leq w < r$ and $(p, r) = 1$ for all prime p less than or equal to w, then r divides $\binom{r}{w}$.

Proof. Clearly $\binom{r}{w} = r(\frac{(r-1)...(r-w+1)}{1\times 2\times ...\times w})$ is an integer. For any prime $p \leq w$, since $p \nmid r$, $p|(r-1)...(r-w+1)$. Thus $1 \times 2 \times ... \times w|(r-1)...(r-w+1)$ and hence the result holds.□

The following consequences of the collecting process are very vital in the proof of the main result.

Lemma 2.5 (R. R. Struik [13]). Let x, y be any elements of a group and let $c_1, c_2, ...$, be the sequence of basic commutators of weight at least two in x and $[x, y]$, in ascending order. Then

$$[x^n, y] = [x, y]^n c_1^{f_1(n)} c_2^{f_2(n)} ... c_i^{f_i(n)} ..., \qquad (2)$$

where

$$f_i(n) = a_1\binom{n}{1} + a_2\binom{n}{2} + ... + a_{w_i}\binom{n}{w_i}, \qquad (3)$$

with $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, and w_i is the weight of c_i in x and $[x, y]$. If the group is nilpotent, then the expression in (2) gives an identity, and the sequence of commutators terminates.

Lemma 2.6 (R. R. Struik [13]). Let α be a fixed integer, and G be a nilpotent group of class at most n. If $b_j \in G$ and $r < n$, then

$$[b_1, .., b_{i-1}, b_i^{\alpha}, b_{i+1}, ..., b_r] = [b_1, ..., b_r]^{\alpha} c_1^{f_1(\alpha)} c_2^{f_2(\alpha)} ...,$$

where the c_k are commutators in $b_1, ..., b_r$ of weight strictly greater than r, and every b_j, $1 \leq j \leq r$ appears in each commutator c_k, the c_k listed in ascending order. The f_i are of the form (3), with $a_j \in \mathbf{Z}$, and w_i is the weight of c_i (in the b_i) minus $(r-1)$.

3. Main Results

Let $A_i = \langle x_i | x_i^{m_i} \rangle$ be cyclic groups such that $A_i \cong \mathbf{Z}$ $(m_i = 0)$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $A_i \cong \mathbf{Z_{m_i}}$ $(m_i > 1)$ for $t+1 \leq i \leq k+1$. Let

$$1 \to R_i = \langle x_i^{m_i} \rangle \to F_i = \langle x_i \rangle \to A_i \to 1$$

be a free presentation for A_i.

Put $G = A_1 \overset{n_1}{*} A_2 \overset{n_2}{*} ... \overset{n_k}{*} A_{k+1}$, where $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq ... \geq n_k$. We are going to find a free presentation for G. We also use the notation $\bar{F}_s = F_1 * F_2 ... * F_s$ for $2 \leq s \leq k+1$ and $F = \bar{F}_{k+1}$.

Lemma 3.1. Keep the above notation and put $S = \langle x_i^{m_i} | 1 \leq i \leq k+1 \rangle^F$ and $R = S\gamma_{n_1+1}(F) \prod_{i=2}^{k} (\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) \cap [\bar{F}_i, F_{i+1}])$. Then $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ is a free presentation of G.

Proof. We use induction on k. When $k = 1$, it is easy to see that $G \cong F/S\gamma_{n_1+1}(F)$. Suppose that $k > 1$ and that the result has been proved for the group $A = A_1 \overset{n_1}{*} A_2 \overset{n_2}{*} ... \overset{n_{k-1}}{*} A_k$. Then A has a free presentation as follows $1 \to R' \to \bar{F}_k \to A \to 1$, where $R' = S'\gamma_{n_1+1}(\bar{F}_k)\prod_{i=2}^{k-1}(\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) \cap [\bar{F}_i, F_{i+1}])$ and $S' = \langle x_i^{m_i} | 1 \le i \le k\rangle^{\bar{F}_k}$. On the other hand, there exist the following sequence

$$F = \bar{F}_k * F_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} A * A_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\psi} A \overset{n_k}{*} A_{k+1} \to 1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$ker(\psi \circ \varphi) = \langle x_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}}, R', \gamma_{n_k+1}(F) \cap [\bar{F}_k, F_{k+1}]\rangle^F.$$

So

$$ker(\psi \circ \varphi) = \langle S\gamma_{n_1+1}(\bar{F}_k)\prod_{i=2}^{k}(\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) \cap [\bar{F}_i, F_{i+1}])\rangle^F.$$

We also note that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{n_1+1}(F) &= \gamma_{n_1+1}(\bar{F}_k)(\gamma_{n_1+1}(F) \cap [\bar{F}_k, F_{k+1}]) \\ &\subseteq \gamma_{n_1+1}(\bar{F}_k)(\gamma_{n_k+1}(F) \cap [\bar{F}_k, F_{k+1}]).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$ker(\psi \circ \varphi) = \langle S\gamma_{n_1+1}(F)\prod_{i=2}^{k}(\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) \cap [\bar{F}_i, F_{i+1}])\rangle^F = R$$

and this completes the proof.□

Keeping the previous notation put

$$M_i = \gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) \cap [\bar{F}_i, F_{i+1}]$$

$$N_i = \gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) \cap [\bar{F}_i, F_{i+1}].$$

Then by the previous lemma the c-nilpotent multiplier of $G = A_1 \overset{n_1}{*} A_2 \overset{n_2}{*} \dots \overset{n_k}{*} A_{k+1}$ is as follows for all $c \ge n_1$

$$\mathcal{N}_cM(G) = \frac{\gamma_{c+1}(F)}{[S,\ _cF]\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)\prod_{i=2}^{k}[M_i,\ _cF]}.$$

We now prove the following lemmas to compute the c-nilpotent multiplier of G.

Lemma 3.2. $[M_i,\ {}_c\bar{F}_{i+1}] = N_i$.

Proof. Clearly $[M_i,\ {}_c\bar{F}_{i+1}] \subseteq N_i$. For the reverse inclusion we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) &= [\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}),\ {}_c\bar{F}_{i+1}] \\
&= [\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_i),\ {}_c\bar{F}_{i+1}][M_i,\ {}_c\bar{F}_{i+1}] \\
&= \gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_i) \prod_{\exists t_j\ s.t.\ t_j=i+1} [\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_i), F_{t_1}, ..., F_{t_c}][M_i,\ {}_c\bar{F}_{i+1}].
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_i), F_{t_1}, ..., F_{t_s}, F_{i+1}, ..., F_{t_c}] &= [F_{i+1}, [\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_i), F_{t_1}, ..., F_{t_s}], ..., F_{t_c}] \\
&\subseteq [F_{i+1}, [\gamma_{n_i+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}),\ {}_s\bar{F}_{i+1}], ..., \bar{F}_{i+1}] \\
&\subseteq [F_{i+1},\ {}_{n_i+s+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}),\ {}_{c-s}\bar{F}_{i+1}] \\
&\subseteq [M_i,\ {}_c\bar{F}_{i+1}].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) = \gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_i)[M_i,\ {}_c\bar{F}_{i+1}]$. On the other hand,

$$\gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) = \gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_i)(\gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) \cap [\bar{F}_i, F_{i+1}]).$$

Hence the result holds.$\square$

Lemma 3.3. With the notation and assumption above we have

$$\prod_{i=2}^{k} [M_i,\ {}_cF] = \prod_{i=2}^{k} N_i.$$

Proof. The previous lemma implies that $N_i = [M_i,\ {}_c(\bar{F}_{i+1})] \subseteq [M_i,\ {}_cF]$ for all $2 \le i \le k$. For the reverse inclusion we have

$$[M_i,\ {}_cF] = \prod_{D_j \in L, 1 \le j \le c} [M_i, D_1, ..., D_c] \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{k} N_i,$$

where $L = \{F_j | 1 \leq j \leq k+1\}$. Note that $[M_i, D_1, ..., D_c] \subseteq N_l$, where $l = max\{i, m\}$ such that $m = max\{t \mid F_t \in \{D_1, ..., D_c\}\}$.□

Now the above lemma implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_c M(G) &\cong \frac{\gamma_{c+1}(F)}{[S,\ _cF]\prod_{i=2}^{k}[M_i,\ _cF]\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_{c+1}(F)}{[S,\ _cF]\prod_{i=2}^{k} N_i\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)} \\ &\cong \frac{\gamma_{c+1}(F)/\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)}{([S,\ _cF]\prod_{i=2}^{k} N_i\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F))/\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)}. \qquad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Also by Theorem 2.2 $\gamma_{c+1}(F)/\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)$ is a free abelian group with the basis of all basic commutators of weight $c+1, ..., c+n_1$ on letters $x_1, ..., x_{k+1}$. Now we are going to find a suitable basis for the group

$$\frac{[S,\ _cF]\prod_{i=2}^{k} N_i\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)}{\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)}.$$

Lemma 3.4. With the previous notation $(\prod_{i=2}^{k} N_i\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F))/\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)$ is a free abelian group with the basis $\bigcup_{i=2}^{k} E_i$, where

$$E_i = \{all\ basic\ commutators\ of\ weight\ c+n_i+1, ..., c+n_1$$

$$on\ letters\ x_1, ..., x_{i+1}\ such\ that\ x_{i+1}\ appears\}$$

Proof. Since E_i's are distinct, it is enough to show that E_i is a basis for $(N_i\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F))/\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq k$. We know that $\gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1}) = \gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_i)N_i$ and $\gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_i) \cap N_i = 1$. On the other hand, $\gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_{i+1})$ is generated by all basic commutators of weight $c+n_i+1, ..., c+n_1$ on letters $x_1, ..., x_{i+1}$ and $\gamma_{n_i+c+1}(\bar{F}_i)$ is generated by all basic commutators of weight $c+n_i+1, ..., c+n_1$ on letters $x_1, ..., x_i$, modulo $\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)$. So the result follows.□

We define $\rho_1(S) = S$ and $\rho_{r+1}(S) = [\rho_r(S), F]$, inductively.

Lemma 3.5. With the notation and assumption above, if $(p, m_{t+1}) = 1$, for all prime p less than or equal to $n_1 + 1 - i$, then $(\rho_{c+i}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F))/(\rho_{c+i+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F))$ is a free abelian group with a basis $D_{i,1} \cup ... \cup D_{i,k+1-t}$, where

$$D_{i,j} = \{b^{m_{t+j}} |\ b\ is\ a\ basic\ commutator\ of\ weight\ c+i\ on$$
$$x_1, ..., x_t, ..., x_{t+j}\ such\ that\ x_{t+j}\ appears\ in\ b\ \},$$

for all $1 \le i \le n_1$.

Proof. Using collecting process (see [4]), it is easy to see that

$$(\rho_{c+i}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F))/(\rho_{c+i+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F))$$

is generated by all basic commutators of weight $c+i, ..., c+n_1$ on $x_1, ..., x_t, .., x_{k+1}$ such that one of the $x_{t+1}^{m_{t+1}}, ..., x_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}}$ appears in them. Also it is routine to check that all the above commutators of weight grater than $c+i$ belong to $\rho_{c+i+1}(S)$. Now, we are going to show that if b' is a basic commutator of weight $c+i$ on $x_1, ..., x_t, ..., x_{k+1}$ such that $x_{t+j}^{m_{t+j}}$, appears in it, then

$$b' \equiv b^{m_{t+j}} \pmod{\rho_{c+i+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)}, \quad (5)$$

where b is a basic commutator of weight $c+i$ on $x_1, ..., x_t, ..., x_{k+1}$ such that x_{t+j} appears in it. (Note that b is actually a real basic commutator according to the definition and b' is the same as b but a letter x_{t+j} with exponent m_{t+j}.) In order to prove the above claim, first we use reverse induction on d ($i+1 \le d \le n_1$) to show that if u is an outer commutator of weight $c+d$ on $x_1, ..., x_t, ..., x_{k+1}$ such that x_{t+j} appears in u, then $u^{m_{t+j}} \in \rho_{c+i+1}(S)$ (mod $\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)$). (6)

let $d = n_1$ and $u = [..., x_{t+j}, ...]$ then clearly $u^{m_{t+j}} \equiv [..., x_{t+j}^{m_{t+j}}, ...] \in \rho_{c+i+1}(S)$ (mod $\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)$).

Now, suppose the above property holds for every $d > d'$. We will show that the property (5) holds for d'. Let $u = [u_1, u_2]$ be an outer commutator of weight $c + d'$ where x_{t+j} appears in u_1, say. Then by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$u^{m_{t+j}} \equiv [u_1^{m_{t+j}}, u_2] v_1^{f_1(m_{t+j})} ... v_h^{f_h(m_{t+j})} \pmod{\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)},$$

where v_s is a basic commutator of weight w_s in u_1 and $[u_1, u_2]$. Thus v_s is an outer commutator of weight greater than $c + d'$ and less than $c + n_1 + 1$ on $x_1, ..., x_t, ..., x_{k+1}$ such that x_{t+j} appears in it. Since $m_{t+j} | m_{t+1}$, by hypothesis we have $(p, m_{t+j}) = 1$, for all prime p less than or equal to $n_1 + 1 - i$. Also, it is easy to see that $w_s \leq (c + n_1 + 1) - (c + d' - 1) = n_1 + 1 - d' + 1 \leq n_1 + 1 - i$. Therefore by Lemma 2.4 $m_{t+j} | f_s(m_{t+j})$ and so by induction hypothesis $v_s^{f_s(m_{t+j})} \in \rho_{c+i+1}(S) \pmod{\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)}$. Hence, by repeating the above process if $u = [..., x_{t+j}, ...]$, then $u^{m_{t+j}} \equiv [..., x_{t+j}^{m_{t+j}}, ...] v_1'^{f_1'(m_{t+j})} ... v_h'^{f_h'(m_{t+j})} \in \rho_{c+i+1}(S) \pmod{\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)}$.

Now using (6), Lemma 2.6 and some routine commutator calculus, the congruence (5) holds. By Theorem 2.2 distinct basic commutators are linear independent and so are distinct powers of them. Since $m_{t+j+1} | m_{t+j}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq k + 1 - t$, the set $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1-t} D_{i,j}$ is a generating set for $(\rho_{c+i}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F))/(\rho_{c+i+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F))$ and hence is a basis for it.□

Lemma 3.6. If $(p, m_{t+1}) = 1$ for all prime p less than or equal to n_1, then $(\rho_{c+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F))/\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)$ is a free abelian group with a basis $\bigcup_{i=t}^{k} C_i$, where

$$C_i = \{b^{m_{i+1}} | \ b \textit{ is a basic commutator of weight } c+1, ..., c+n_1$$

$$\textit{on letters } x_1, ..., x_{i+1} \textit{ such that } x_{i+1} \textit{ appears in } b\}.$$

Proof. Put

$$A_i = \frac{\rho_{c+i}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)}{\rho_{c+i+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)}, B_i = \frac{\rho_{c+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)}{\rho_{c+i+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)}.$$

Then clearly the following exact sequence exists for all $1 \leq i \leq n_1$

$$0 \to A_i \to B_i \to B_{i-1} \to 0.$$

By Lemma 3.5 B_1 is a free abelian, so the exact sequence

$$0 \to A_2 \to B_2 \to B_1 \to 0$$

is a split exact sequence, and hence $B_2 \cong A_2 \oplus B_1$. Also, by Lemma 3.5 every A_i is free abelian, so by induction every B_i is free abelian and

$$\frac{\rho_{c+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)}{\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)} = B_{n_1} \cong A_{n_1} \oplus A_{n_1-1} \oplus ... \oplus A_2 \oplus A_1.$$

Now, according to the basis for A_i presented in Lemma 3.5, the group $(\rho_{c+1}(S)\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F))/\gamma_{c+n_1+1}(F)$ is a free abelian group with a basis

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_1}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1-t} D_{i,j}) = \bigcup_{i=t}^{k} C_i.\square$$

Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.6 implies that $\bigcup_{i=t}^{k} C_i \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{k} E_i$ generate the dinominator of 4, but some elements of C_i which are generated by elements of $\bigcup_{i=2}^{k} E_i$ can be omitted and other elements are linear independent. Therefore we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. Keeping the notation of Lemma 3.4 if $(p, m_{t+1}) = 1$ for all prime p less than or equal to n_1, then $([S,\ _cF]\prod_{i=2}^{k} N_i\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F))/\gamma_{n_1+c+1}(F)$ is a free abelian group with the basis $(\bigcup_{i=2}^{k} E_i) \cup (\bigcup_{i=t}^{k} L_i)$, where

$$L_i = \{b^{m_{i+1}} | b \ is\ a\ basic\ commutator\ of\ weight\ c+1, ..., c+n_i$$

$$on\ letters\ x_1, ..., x_{i+1}\ such\ that\ x_{i+1}\ appears\ in\ b\}.$$

Now we are ready to state and prove the first main result of the paper.

Proposition 3.8. Let $G = A_1 \overset{n_1}{*} ... \overset{n_k}{*} A_{k+1}$ such that $A_i \cong \mathbf{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$

and $A_j \cong \mathbf{Z}_{m_j}$ for $t+1 \leq j \leq k+1$. Let $c \geq n_1 \geq ... \geq n_k$ and $m_{k+1}|m_k|...|m_{t+1}$ and $(p, m_{t+1}) = 1$ for all prime $p \leq n_1$. Then

$$\mathcal{N}_c M(G) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{(u)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{m_{t+1}}^{(f_t)} \oplus ... \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{m_{k+1}}^{(f_k)},$$

where $u = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{t-1}} \chi_{c+j}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{t-2} \sum_{j=n_{i+1}+1}^{n_i} \chi_{c+j}(i+1)$ and $f_s = \sum_{j=1}^{n_s}(\chi_{c+j}(s+1) - \chi_{c+j}(s))$.

Proof. Applying the structure of $\mathcal{N}_c M(G)$ given by (4) and Theorem 3.7 and note that the E_i and the L_i are mutually disjoint and

$$|E_i| = \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_1} (\chi_{c+j}(i+1) - \chi_{c+j}(i)),$$

$$|L_i| = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\chi_{c+j}(i+1) - \chi_{c+j}(i)),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \chi_{c+j}(k+1) - (\sum_{i=t}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\chi_{c+j}(i+1) - \chi_{c+j}(i)) + \sum_{i=2}^{k} \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_1} (\chi_{c+j}(i+1) - \chi_{c+j}(i))) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \chi_{c+j}(k+1) - \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{i=t}^{k} (\chi_{c+j}(i+1) - \chi_{c+j}(i)) - \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_1} (\chi_{c+j}(i+1) - \chi_{c+j}(i))) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \chi_{c+j}(t) - \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_1} (\chi_{c+j}(i+1) - \chi_{c+j}(i))) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \chi_{c+j}(t) - \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_1} \chi_{c+j}(i+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{t-2} \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_1} \chi_{c+j}(i+1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n_{t-1}} \chi_{c+j}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{t-2} \sum_{j=n_{i+1}+1}^{n_i} \chi_{c+j}(i+1)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows. □

In order to state and prove the second main result we apply the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9. Let G be a nilpotent group of class $n \leq c_1$, and $c_2, ..., c_s \geq 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{N}_{c_1,c_2...,c_s}M(G) = \mathcal{N}_{c_s}M(...\mathcal{N}_{c_2}M(\mathcal{N}_{c_1}M(G))...).$$

Proof. Let F/R be a free presentation of G. Since $\gamma_{c_1+1}(F) \leq \gamma_{n+1}(F) \leq R$, $\mathcal{N}_{c_1}M(G) = \gamma_{c_1+1}(F)/[R, \ _{c_1}F]$. Now, we can consider $\gamma_{c_1+1}(F)/[R, \ _{c_1}F]$ as a free presentation for $\mathcal{N}_{c_1}M(G)$ and hence

$$\mathcal{N}_{c_2}M(\mathcal{N}_{c_1}M(G)) = \frac{\gamma_{c_2+1}(\gamma_{c_1+1}(F))}{[R, \ _{c_1}F, \ _{c_2}\gamma_{c_1+1}F]}.$$

Therefore by (1) we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{c_1,c_2}M(G) = \mathcal{N}_{c_2}M(\mathcal{N}_{c_1}M(G)).$$

By continuing the above process we can conclude the result.□

Note that, by a similar method of [9], we can obtain the structure of the c-nilpotent multiplier of a finitely generated abelian group as the following statement. Also, this is a corollary of Proposition 3.8 when $n_1 = n_2 = ... = n_k = 1$

Corollary 3.10. Let $G = \mathbf{Z}^{(m)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{m_1} \oplus ... \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{m_k}$, be a finitely generated abelian group, where $m_{i+1}|m_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then
$\mathcal{N}_cM(G) = \mathbf{Z}^{(b_m)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{m_1}^{(b_{m+1}-b_m)} \oplus ... \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{m_k}^{(b_{m+k}-d_{m+k-1})}$, where $b_i = \chi_{c+1}(i)$.

Now the second main result of the paper follows immediately by the above lemma, Proposition 3.8 and repeated usage of Corollary 3.10.

Proposition 3.11. Let $G = A_1 \overset{n_1}{*} A_2 \overset{n_2}{*} ... \overset{n_k}{*} A_{k+1}$ such that $A_i \cong \mathbf{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $A_j \cong \mathbf{Z}_{m_j}$ for $t+1 \leq j \leq k+1$. Let $c_1 \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq ... \geq n_k$ and $m_{k+1}|m_k|...|m_{t+1}$ and $(p, m_{t+1}) = 1$ for all prime $p \leq n_1$. Then the structure of the polynilpotent multiplier of G is

$$\mathcal{N}_{c_1,c_2,...,c_s}M(G) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{(d_0)} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{m_{t+1}}^{(d_t-d_o)} \oplus ... \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{m_{k+1}}^{(d_k-d_{k-1})},$$

where $d_i = \chi_{c_s+1}(...(\chi_{c_2+1}(u+\sum_{j=t}^{i} f_j))...)$, for all $t \leq i \leq k$, $d_0 = \chi_{c_s+1}(...(\chi_{c_2+1}(u))...)$ and u and f_i are the notation used in Proposition 3.8.

References

[1] G. Ellis, On Groups with a Finite Nilpotent Upper Central Quotient, *Arch. Math.* **70**, (1998) 89-96.

[2] G. Ellis, On the Relation Between Upper Central Quotients and Lower Central Series of a Group, *Trance. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353**, (2001) 4219-4234.

[3] N. D. Gupta and M. R. R. Moghaddam, Higher Schur Multiplicators of Nilpotent Diheadral Groups, *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* XIV **5**, (1992) 225-230.

[4] M. Hall, *The Theory of Groups*, Macmillian Company, New York, 1959.

[5] B. Mashayekhy, Some Notes on the Baer-invarient of a Nilpotent Product of Groups, *Jornal of Algebra* **235**, (2001) 15-26.

[6] B. Mashayekhy, A. Hokmabadi and F. Mohammadzade, Polynilpotent Multipliers of some Nilpotent Products of Cyclic Groups, Submitted.

[7] B. Mashayekhy and M. Parvizi, Polynilpotent Multipliers of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups, *International Jornal of Mathematics, Game Theory and Algebra*, **16:1**, (2006) 93-102.

[8] M. R. R. Moghaddam, The Baer invariant of a Direct Product, *Archiv der Math.*, **33**, (1979) 504-511.

[9] M. R. R. Mogaddam and B. Mashayekhy, Higher Schur Multiplicator of a Finite Abelian Group, *Algebra Colloquium* , **4:3**, (1997) 317-322.

[10] M. R. R. Moghaddam, B. Mashayekhy, and S. Kayvanfar, The Higher Schur Multiplicator of Certain Class of Groups, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **27**, (2003) 121-128.

[11] H. Neuman, *Varieties of Groups*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1967.

[12] M. Parvizi and B. Mashayekhy , On Polynilpotent Multipliers of Free Nilpotent Groups, *Communication in Algebra*, **34:6**, (2006) 2287-2294.

[13] R. R. Struik, On Nilpotent Products of Cyclic Groups, *Canada. J. Math.*, **12**, (1960) 447-462.